

Engineering Notes

ENGINEERING NOTES are short manuscripts describing new developments or important results of a preliminary nature. These Notes cannot exceed 6 manuscript pages and 3 figures; a page of text may be substituted for a figure and vice versa. After informal review by the editors, they may be published within a few months of the date of receipt. Style requirements are the same as for regular contributions (see inside back cover).

Fly-Around Motion Control Based on Exact Linearization with Adaptive Law

Hirohisa Kojima*

Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Technology,
Tokyo 191-0065, Japan

I. Introduction

FORMATION flight is a new key technology that will help to extend space activities in areas such as space debris elimination, communication with satellites having malfunctioning attitude control systems, and observation of a specific surface of a rotating asteroid in deep space. These applications can be realized by a chaser satellite flying around a target satellite and tracking a specific surface of the target satellite. In the present Note, this motion is referred to as fly-around motion. To achieve this motion, the chaser satellite requires not only attitude tracking but also position tracking. The attitude and position tracking control problem has been widely studied for formation flight.^{1–3} A group of small satellites that require small sensor devices, such as charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras, is expected to be the desired scheme for formation flight. The use of only a small onboard CCD camera to measure the line of sight (LOS), which is the angle between the sight direction of the onboard camera of the chaser satellite and the direction to the target satellite, requires a tracking control method based on LOS.

Therefore, in the present Note, a control scheme by which to achieve fly-around motion using LOS information is proposed based on the exact linearization method.⁴ The performance of the fly-around motion depends on the inertia property of the target because the momentum of inertia dominates rotational motion. However, determining exactly the inertia of a malfunctioning target satellite or an asteroid in advance is almost impossible. To overcome this problem, an adaptive method for estimating the inertia ratios of a target is presented, provided that the principal axes of the target are known. This assumption may be overly restrictive, but is needed in designing the adaptive method to work with an unknown target satellite. In addition, if a target is rotating fast, the large amount of force and the fuel relative to the mass of the chaser satellite will be needed to make the chaser satellite artificially orbit the target at a rate equal to the spin rate of the target. Therefore, in the present Note, only a case in which a target is rotating slowly is considered. A numerical example is given to verify the validity of the proposed control scheme.

Presented as Paper 2003-5508 at the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference, Austin, TX, 12 August 2003; received 20 October 2003; revision received 29 July 2004; accepted for publication 29 July 2004. Copyright © 2004 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0731-5090/05 \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

*Associate Professor, Department of Aerospace Engineering, 6-6 Asahi-gaoka, Hino; hkojima@cc.tmit.ac.jp. Member AIAA.

II. Model Description

A. Equation of motion

The target is assumed to be a malfunctioning satellite, which may be uncontrolled, or an asteroid in deep space. When gravitational and orbital effects such as the Coriolis force are neglected, the equations of motion and attitude kinematics for the chaser satellite and the target satellite can be represented, respectively, as follows:

$$m_i \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i + m_i \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \times \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{f}_i \quad (1)$$

$$J_i \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i + \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \times J_i \boldsymbol{\omega}_i = \mathbf{t}_i, \quad i = c, t \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{\omega}_i^\times & \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_i^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_i \quad (3)$$

where the subscripts c and t denote the chaser and target satellite, respectively; $\mathbf{f}_c$ and $\mathbf{t}_c$ are the control force and torque, respectively, given to the chaser satellite; $\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{v}$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, and $\mathbf{q}$ ($=[\tilde{\mathbf{q}}^\top q_4]^\top$) are the position, velocity, angular velocity, and quaternion, respectively, of the satellites; m and J are the mass and the inertia tensor, respectively, of the satellites; and $\mathbf{z}^\times$ denotes a skew-symmetric matrix. Note that the velocity, angular velocity, force, and torque are indicated in the satellite body-fixed frames of each satellite. In the present study, differences in position, velocity, and angular velocity between the chaser and the target are defined in the chaser satellite body-fixed frame as $\mathbf{r}_e = \mathbf{r}_c - C_t^c \mathbf{r}_t$, $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}_c - C_t^c \mathbf{v}_t$, and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_e = \boldsymbol{\omega}_c - C_t^c \boldsymbol{\omega}_t$, respectively, where C_t^c is the direct cosine matrix from the target satellite body-fixed frame to that of the chaser satellite.

B. Definition of LOS and Attitude Error

To simplify analysis, the following assumptions are made: 1) A CCD camera, the screen of which is made up of matrix-structured pixels, is used to sense the direction to the target. 2) The direction of the camera sight is coincident with the $-x$ direction of the chaser satellite body-fixed frame. 3) The relative distance to the target in the x direction and the velocity of the target satellite on the onboard camera screen of the chaser satellite can be sensed.

Under these assumptions, the LOS parameters are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{l}_{os} = [r_{ex} \quad d_y \quad d_z]^\top = [r_{ex} \quad s(r_{ey}/r_{ex}) \quad s(r_{ez}/r_{ex})]^\top \quad (4)$$

where s is the focus length of the camera, and d_y and d_z are the y and z coordinates, respectively, indicating the position of the target on the chaser satellite's onboard camera screen, and r_{ex} indicates the relative distance of the target satellite in the x direction of the chaser satellite body-fixed frame. Note that, without loss of generality, s can be set as 1, and hereafter $s = 1$. The attitude error is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{q}_e = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e \\ q_{e4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{t4}\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_c - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t^\times \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_c - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t q_{c4} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t^\top \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_c + q_{t4}q_{c4} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Let parameter $\mathbf{x}$ consist of the angular velocity of the chaser satellite, the position and attitude of the chaser satellite relative to the target satellite, and the velocity and angular velocity of the chaser satellite relative to the target satellite as measured by the chaser satellite, as $\mathbf{x} = [\boldsymbol{\omega}_c^\top \mathbf{r}_e^\top \mathbf{q}_e^\top \mathbf{v}_e^\top \boldsymbol{\omega}_e^\top]^\top$. To derive the

nonlinear controller based on the LOS parameters, let the vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, which will be exactly linearized in the following section, be $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbf{r}_{os}^T \ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e^T \ \mathbf{l}_{os}^T \ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e^T]^T = [\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1^T \ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2^T \ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3^T \ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_4^T]^T$.

III. Exact Linearization via Nonlinear Feedback

Let a nonlinear feedback control that includes force and torque be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_c \\ \mathbf{t}_c \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) + B(\mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{u}} \quad (6)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ is a vector $\in R^6$, $B(\mathbf{x})$ is a matrix $\in R^{6 \times 6}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{u}} (= [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_f^T \ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_t^T]^T)$ is a fictitious control input $\in R^6$ to control the LOS parameters and the attitude of the chaser satellite relative to the target satellite. Considering the second derivatives of the LOS parameters and quaternion errors and comparing both sides of the equation yields nonlinear functions $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ and $B(\mathbf{x})$ that convert the vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ into an exact-linearized system,

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} = \hat{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{B}\hat{\mathbf{u}} \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{6 \times 6} & I_{6 \times 6} \\ 0_{6 \times 6} & 0_{6 \times 6} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{B} = [0_{6 \times 6} \quad I_{6 \times 6}]^T \quad (9)$$

where I is the identity matrix.

The nonlinear function $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ includes the angular acceleration of the target satellite. This angular acceleration can be estimated if the inertia tensor of the target is known and the angular velocity of the target can be sensed. The case in which the inertia tensor of the target is known is almost never satisfied in reality, when the target satellite is a noncooperative satellite, or an asteroid. To overcome this problem, an adaptive law to estimate the inertia property of the target satellite will be described for a simple case in the next section.

IV. Nonlinear Adaptive Controller

The purpose of the adaptive law derived in this section is to estimate the principal inertia ratios of the target under the assumptions that the principal axis of the target satellite is coincident with its body axis and the inertia tensor of the chaser is known exactly.

A Lyapunov function candidate is selected as

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\eta}^T\boldsymbol{\eta} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^T\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}g(1 - r_{\text{ref}}/r_{ex})^2 + \frac{1}{2}h\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2^T\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 / (1 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2^T\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^T G^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \quad (10)$$

where, using constant positive scalars a and b , $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are defined as $\boldsymbol{\eta} = a\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = b\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_4$, respectively, g and h are constant positive scalars, G is a constant positive definite diagonal matrix, $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ is the parameter mismatch between the correct value $\mathbf{k}$ and the estimated value $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, $\mathbf{k}$ is the inertia ratio vector defined as

$$\mathbf{k} = [k_x \quad k_y \quad k_z]^T$$

$$= [(J_{I22} - J_{I33})/J_{I11} \quad (J_{I33} - J_{I11})/J_{I22} \quad (J_{I11} - J_{I22})/J_{I33}]^T \quad (11)$$

and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$ is redefined as $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 = [r_{ex} - r_{\text{ref}} \ d_y \ d_z]^T$. Note that r_{ref} is a positive, constant reference distance in the x direction of the chaser satellite body-fixed frame to avoid collisions between the chaser and target satellites.

When the time derivative of Eq. (10) is used, control inputs $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_f$ and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_t$ are applied,

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_f = -a\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 - c\boldsymbol{\eta} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_f, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_f = [-gr_{\text{ref}}(1 - r_{\text{ref}}/r_{ex})/r_{ex}^2 \quad 0 \quad 0]^T \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_t = -b\hat{\mathbf{x}}_4 - d\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_t, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_t = -h\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 / (1 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2^T\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2) \quad (13)$$

where c and d are constant positive scalars, and the parameter mismatches are taken into account, an adaptive law by which to estimate the inertia ratios of the target can be designed as follows:

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} = -G(Y_1^T\boldsymbol{\eta} + Y_2^T\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \quad (14)$$

where

$$Y_1 = \mathbf{r}_e^x E_1^{-1}(\mathbf{q}_e) E_2(\mathbf{q}_e) \text{diag}[\omega_{t2}\omega_{t3}, \omega_{t3}\omega_{t1}, \omega_{t1}\omega_{t2}]$$

$$Y_2 = -E_2(\mathbf{q}_e) \text{diag}[\omega_{t2}\omega_{t3}, \omega_{t3}\omega_{t1}, \omega_{t1}\omega_{t2}]$$

$$E_1(\mathbf{q}_e) = (\mathbf{q}_e I_{3 \times 3} + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e^\times) / 2$$

$$E_2(\mathbf{q}_e) = (\mathbf{q}_e I_{3 \times 3} - \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e^\times) / 2$$




Fig. 1 Time responses of a) LOS parameters, b) quaternion errors, and c) estimated inertia ratios for target satellite.

The estimated inertia ratios of the target satellite, $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = [\hat{k}_x \ \hat{k}_y \ \hat{k}_z]^T$, are obtained by integrating the adaptive law Eq. (14) with an initial estimated value.

V. Numerical Simulation

The large amount of force and the fuel relative to the mass of the chaser satellite is needed in order to make the chaser satellite artificially orbit the target at a rate equal to the spin rate of the target if a target is rotating fast. Therefore, only a case in which the target is rotating slowly is considered in the present Note. The parameters of the numerical simulations are listed as follows. For the mass and inertia, the target is $J_t = \text{diag}[10, 10, 15] \text{ kgm}^2$, the chaser $m_c = 500 \text{ kg}$, and

$$J_c = \begin{bmatrix} 300 & -30 & -50 \\ -30 & 400 & -40 \\ -50 & -40 & 300 \end{bmatrix} \text{ kgm}^2$$

For the initial state of the target, $\mathbf{q}_t = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_t = [0.01 \ 0.01 \ 0.01]^T \text{ rad/s}$, and the chaser, $\mathbf{r}_c = [10 \ 0 \ 0]^T \text{ m}$, $\mathbf{v}_c = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \text{ m/s}$, $\mathbf{q}_c = [0 \ 0 \ 1/\sqrt{2} \ 1/\sqrt{2}]^T$, and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_c = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \text{ rad/s}$. The gains are $a = b = c = d = 0.1$, $g = h = 0.001$, and $G = \text{diag}[4, 4, 4] \times 10^5$. The initial estimation for the inertia ratios of the target is $\hat{k}_x(0) = 0$, $\hat{k}_y(0) = -0.5$, and $\hat{k}_z(0) = 0.5$. The position of the target is assumed to be on the origin in the inertia frame. The performance of the adaptive law is assessed by setting the initial estimated inertia ratios of the target at the erroneous values as just given. The adaptive law updates the parameters using the tracking errors after the tracking is almost achieved, based on the relationship between the tracking errors and the parameter mismatch.

Figures 1a–1c show the time responses of position errors, quaternion errors, and the inertia ratios for the target as estimated by the

adaptive law, respectively. The LOS parameters and attitude errors are successfully controlled so that the chaser satellite flies around the target satellite, tracking a specific surface of the target satellite. The correct values of the inertia ratios for the target are $k_x = -0.5$, $k_y = 0.5$, and $k_z = 0$. The estimated inertia ratios change dramatically at the beginning of maneuvering and converge to the correct values after approximately 300 s.

VI. Conclusions

Fly-around motion control in the absence of a gravitational field or other disturbances has been introduced based on an exact-linearization method. An adaptive law has been provided to improve the performance of the controller for the case in which the principal axes of the target are known and the inertia ratios of the target include uncertainty. Numerical simulation revealed that even if modeling of the inertia ratios for the target satellite includes uncertainty at the initial time, the proposed adaptive control method can estimate the correct inertia ratios of the target satellite and precisely control the position and attitude of the chaser satellite so that the chaser satellite can fly around the target satellite, tracking a specific surface of the target satellite.

References

- ¹Wang, P. K. C., Hadaegh, F. Y., and Lau, K., "Synchronized Formation Rotation and Attitude Control of Multiple Free-Flying Spacecraft," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 1999, pp. 28–35.
- ²Queiroz, M. S., Kapila, V., and Yan, Q., "Adaptive Nonlinear Control of Multiple Spacecraft Formation Flying," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2000, pp. 385–390.
- ³Mitchell, J. W., and Richardson, D. L., "Invariant Manifold Tracking for First-Order Nonlinear Hill's Equations," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2003, pp. 622–627.
- ⁴Slotine, J. J., and Li, W., *Applied Nonlinear Control*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991, Chap. 6.